organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Tri-2-furyl-phosphine oxide: an oxidation product of the weak Lewis base tri-2furylphosphine

Darkus Jenkins,^a Richard E. Sykora^b and Zerihun Assefa^a*

^aChemistry Department, North Carolina A&T State University, Greensboro, NC 27411, USA, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL 36688-0002, USA

Correspondence e-mail: zassefa@ncat.edu

Received 29 June 2007; accepted 12 July 2007

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 290 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å; R factor = 0.034; wR factor = 0.099; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.1.

The title compound, $C_{12}H_9O_4P$, was prepared by the oxidation of tri-2-furylphosphine. The P=O bond length is 1.478 (2) Å and the C-P bond lengths range from 1.774 (2) to 1.785 (2) Å. The tri-2-furyl-phosphine oxide molecule displays a very distorted confirmation (not propeller-like) due to the large variation in O-P-C-C torsion angles [5.6 (3), 8.5 (3) and 118.0 (3)°]. The crystal structure involves intermolecular C-H···O hydrogen bonds.

Related literature

For methods of oxidizing tertiary phosphines, see: Barton *et al.* (1997); Lim *et al.* (2002); Mandimutsira *et al.* (2002). A unique reaction reported in the case of tri-2-furylphosphine is the partial oxidation of the ligand while attempted coordination to a Hg^{II} center. A mixed ligand/ligand oxide complex of Hg^{II} was characterized crystallographically (Bachechi *et al.*, 2005), although the crystal structure of the uncomplexed, oxidized ligand has not been reported.

For related literature, see: Allen & Ward (1980); Andersen & Keay (2001); Farina *et al.* (1988).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{12}H_9O_4P\\ M_r = 248.16\\ Orthorhombic, P2_12_12_1\\ a = 8.0837 \ (8) \ \text{\AA}\\ b = 10.9362 \ (17) \ \text{\AA}\\ c = 13.4690 \ (15) \ \text{\AA} \end{array}$

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4	2183
diffractometer	2043
Absorption correction: ψ scan	$R_{\rm int}$
(North et al., 1968)	3 sta
$T_{\min} = 0.877, \ T_{\max} = 0.895$	ev
2455 measured reflections	in

Refinement

$$\begin{split} R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] &= 0.035 \\ wR(F^2) &= 0.099 \\ S &= 1.07 \\ 2183 \text{ reflections} \\ 155 \text{ parameters} \\ \text{H-atom parameters constrained} \end{split}$$

V = 1190.7 (3) Å³ Z = 4Mo K α radiation $\mu = 0.23 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 290 (2) K $1.0 \times 0.49 \times 0.48 \text{ mm}$

2183 independent reflections 2043 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.018$ 3 standard reflections every 120 reflections intensity decay: none

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.30 \mbox{ e } {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.23 \mbox{ e } {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \mbox{Absolute structure: Flack (1983),} \\ 905 \mbox{ Friedel pairs} \\ \mbox{Flack parameter: } -0.01 \mbox{ (6)} \end{array}$

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	<i>D</i> -H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$C8-H8A\cdots O4^{i}$	0.93	2.44	3.325 (3)	158
$C6-H6A\cdots O4^{ii}$	0.93	2.49	3.394 (3)	163
$C12 - H12A \cdots O4^{iii}$	0.93	2.50	3.309 (3)	146
$C10-H10A\cdots O3^{iv}$	0.93	2.50	3.397 (3)	163
C	(1) 13	L 1. Z22	1	1. (!!!)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, -y, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $-x + 2, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $-x + 1, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *CAD-4-PC* (Enraf–Nonius, 1993); cell refinement: *CAD-4-PC*; data reduction: *XCAD4* (Harms & Wocadlo, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL-NT* (Bruker, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2007).

This work was supported by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Educational Partnership Program (NOAA-EPP) award number NA06OAR4810187 to North Carolina A&T State University. The authors gratefully acknowledge the Department of Energy and Oak Ridge National Laboratory for the loan of a Nonius CAD-4 X-ray diffractometer.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: PK2038).

References

- Allen, D. W. & Ward, H. (1980). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 35, 754-757.
- Andersen, N. G. & Keay, B. A. (2001). Chem. Rev. 101, 997-1030.
- Bachechi, F., Burini, A., Galassi, R. & Pietroni, B. R. (2005). J. Mol. Struct. 740, 119–123.
- Barton, D. H. R., Hill, D. R. & Hu, B. (1997). Tetrahedron Lett. 38, 1711–1712. Bruker (1998). SHELXTL-NT. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Enraf-Nonius (1993). CAD-4-PC Software. Version 1.2. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Farina, V., Baker, S. R., Benigni, D. & Sapino, C. Jr (1988). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **29**, 5739–5742.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1995). XCAD4. University of Marburg, Germany.
- Lim, M. D., Lorkovic, I. M. & Ford, P. C. (2002). Inorg. Chem. 41, 1026–1028.
- Mandimutsira, B. S., Ramdhanie, B., Todd, R. C., Wang, H., Zareba, A. A., Czernuszewicz, R. S. & Goldberg, D. P. (2002). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124, 15170–15171.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXL97* and *SHELXS97*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Westrip, S. P. (2007). publCIF. In preparation.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2007). E63, o3510-o3511 [doi:10.1107/S1600536807034125]

Tri-2-furyl-phosphine oxide: an oxidation product of the weak Lewis base tri-2-furylphosphine

D. Jenkins, R. E. Sykora and Z. Assefa

Comment

Tertiary phosphines with heterocyclic substituents have attracted a great deal of attention in the design and development of tailor-made ligands for transition-metal coordination (Andersen & Keay, 2001) and important organic reactions (Farina *et al.*, 1988). Although the chemical reactivities of R_3P phosphines are by and large determined by steric and electronic factors, these properties are closely inter-related. The increase in steric bulk of the *R* groups is known to reduce the s-character of the phosphorous lone pair, and makes the ligand more Lewis basic (Andersen & Keay, 2001). With a cone angle of 134°, tri-2-furylphosphine (TFP) has comparable size to that of triphenylphosphine (145°). However, their electronic properties are quite different. TFP is a substantially weaker Lewis base and, therefore a poorer σ -donor than PPh₃. It is in fact reported (Allen & Ward, 1980) that the 2-furyl group is the most electron-withdrawing substituent among the tri(hetero)aryl phosphines. As a result, its electronic properties and also its reactivity are expected to be different. It appears that due to its electronic properties, TFP is currently the choice in a wide variety of metal-catalyzed reactions for carbon-carbon and carbon-heteroatom bond formation.

Oxidation of tertiary phosphines to their respective phosphine oxides is well known for PPh₃ and related phosphines, and has been accomplished using several techniques including oxidation with H_2O_2 (Barton *et al.*, 1997) and NO (Lim *et al.*, 2002). An alternative method of synthesis employing oxygen atom transfer routes by metal oxides are also well documented (Mandimutsira *et al.*, 2002). These methods are likely also suitable for tri-2-furylphosphine. A unique reaction reported in the TFP case involved partial oxidation of the ligand while attempted coordination to a Hg(II) center. A mixed ligand/ligand oxide complex of Hg(II) was characterized crystallographically (Bachechi *et al.*, 2005), although the sole oxidized ligand has not been reported. Our initial unexpected synthesis of the title compound (I) was carried out while attempting to coordinate TFP to a Au(I) center in THF solvent. A rational synthesis has since been discovered (See Experimental section for details). Fig. 1 illustrates the molecular structure of (I) along with the atom numbering scheme. The four closest intermolecular interactions are shown in the hydrogen bonding table. All of these interactions are at ranges that are slightly longer than the sum of the atoms van der Waals radii, and are therefore not relatively strong interactions. Not surprisingly, the majority (three) of these interactions are to the oxide moiety.

Experimental

tri-2-furylphosphine (TFP) is commercially available and was used as received from Aldrich. The initial synthesis of the TFP oxide was obtained unexpectedly while attempting to coordinate TFP to a Au(I) center. However, the compound can easily be oxidized using the following procedure. TFP (20 mg, 0.086 mmol) is dissolved in 4 ml THF. After addition of a few drops of H_2O_2 (30%), the solution is stirred for 3 h. After partial evaporation of the solvent and overnight refrigeration, a solid white product is formed. Recrystallization is attained in a THF/diethyl ether (2:1) solution.

The product was characterized using several spectroscopic techniques in addition to the X-ray analysis. ³¹P-NMR in CDCl₃ of the tri-2-furylphosphine oxide (R_3 PO) shows a single band at a significantly down-field position when compared

to the unoxidized ligand, ³¹P-NMR: (CDCl₃, δ , p.p.m.): -10.38 (*s*). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 6.57 (1*H*), 7.18 (1*H*), 7.80 (1*H*). The unoxidized TFP ligand (*R*₃P) has a ³¹P-NMR single band at -77.18 p.p.m., and ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 6.42 (1*H*), 6.81 (1*H*), 7.67 (1*H*). Due to the large size of the crystal used for the diffraction experiment, the beam tunnel that was used was large (2 mm i.d.) to ensure that the crystal was completely inside of the X-ray beam during the diffraction experiment.

Refinement

H atoms were placed in calculated positions and allowed to ride during subsequent refinement, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ and C—H distances of 0.93 Å for all H atoms.

Figures



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of **I**, with the atom-numbering scheme included. Displacement ellipsoids for non-hydrogen atoms are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary size.

Tri-2-furyl-phosphine oxide

Crystal data $F_{000} = 512$ C₁₂H₉O₄P $D_{\rm x} = 1.384 {\rm Mg m}^{-3}$ $M_r = 248.16$ Mo Kα radiation Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$ $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Hall symbol: P 2ac 2ab Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 8.1 - 11.8^{\circ}$ a = 8.0837 (8) Åb = 10.9362 (17) Å $\mu = 0.23 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ c = 13.4690 (15) Å T = 290 (2) K $V = 1190.7 (3) \text{ Å}^3$ Prism, colorless Z = 4 $1.0\times0.49\times0.48~mm$

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4	$R_{\rm int} = 0.018$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$\theta_{max} = 25.4^{\circ}$
Monochromator: graphite	$\theta_{\min} = 2.4^{\circ}$
T = 290(2) K	$h = 0 \rightarrow 9$
$\theta/2\theta$ scans	$k = 0 \rightarrow 13$

Absorption correction: ψ scan (North *et al.*, 1968) $T_{\min} = 0.877$, $T_{\max} = 0.895$ 2455 measured reflections 2183 independent reflections 2043 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0617P)^2 + 0.1689P]$ Least-squares matrix: full where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $wR(F^2) = 0.099$ $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.30 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.23 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ S = 1.07Extinction correction: SHELXL97, 2183 reflections $Fc^* = kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$ Extinction coefficient: 0.029 (3) 155 parameters Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 905 Friedel pairs methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Flack parameter: -0.01 (6) Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

 $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$

3 standard reflections

every 120 reflections

intensity decay: none

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R– factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
P1	0.77262 (6)	0.09125 (5)	0.24066 (4)	0.03648 (18)
01	0.8209 (4)	0.31874 (17)	0.15987 (16)	0.0788 (7)
O2	0.7664 (2)	0.09724 (15)	0.43842 (11)	0.0538 (4)
O3	0.47834 (19)	0.20950 (13)	0.23857 (15)	0.0480 (4)
O4	0.8335 (2)	-0.03428 (14)	0.22293 (14)	0.0529 (4)
C1	0.8511 (3)	0.1956 (2)	0.15066 (16)	0.0450 (5)
C2	0.9358 (5)	0.1725 (4)	0.0685 (2)	0.0816 (10)
H2A	0.9696	0.0967	0.0448	0.098*
C3	0.9645 (5)	0.2918 (4)	0.0236 (2)	0.0881 (12)

supplementary materials

H3A	1.0227	0.3071	-0.0346	0.106*
C4	0.8941 (6)	0.3723 (4)	0.0795 (3)	0.0953 (13)
H4B	0.8934	0.4559	0.0668	0.114*
C5	0.8257 (3)	0.1579 (2)	0.35641 (15)	0.0380 (5)
C6	0.9141 (4)	0.2562 (3)	0.3848 (2)	0.0573 (7)
H6A	0.9664	0.3129	0.3440	0.069*
C7	0.9106 (4)	0.2547 (4)	0.4909 (2)	0.0714 (9)
H7A	0.9623	0.3104	0.5328	0.086*
C8	0.8210 (4)	0.1604 (3)	0.51835 (19)	0.0647 (7)
H8A	0.7982	0.1398	0.5839	0.078*
C9	0.5522 (2)	0.09714 (18)	0.23460 (16)	0.0371 (5)
C10	0.4375 (3)	0.0104 (2)	0.2246 (2)	0.0559 (7)
H10A	0.4561	-0.0733	0.2201	0.067*
C11	0.2819 (3)	0.0700 (2)	0.2222 (2)	0.0583 (7)
H11A	0.1788	0.0331	0.2159	0.070*
C12	0.3125 (3)	0.1888 (2)	0.2306 (2)	0.0524 (6)
H12A	0.2319	0.2496	0.2311	0.063*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
P1	0.0337 (3)	0.0355 (3)	0.0402 (3)	0.0013 (2)	0.0009 (2)	-0.0019 (2)
01	0.1116 (19)	0.0550 (12)	0.0698 (12)	-0.0135 (12)	0.0208 (13)	0.0142 (9)
02	0.0612 (10)	0.0566 (10)	0.0435 (8)	-0.0071 (9)	0.0058 (7)	0.0098 (7)
O3	0.0412 (8)	0.0327 (7)	0.0702 (11)	0.0022 (6)	-0.0025 (8)	-0.0087 (7)
O4	0.0481 (8)	0.0417 (9)	0.0689 (10)	0.0095 (7)	-0.0009 (8)	-0.0094 (8)
C1	0.0406 (12)	0.0552 (14)	0.0391 (11)	-0.0060 (11)	-0.0013 (10)	0.0019 (10)
C2	0.079 (2)	0.117 (3)	0.0483 (15)	0.013 (2)	0.0168 (15)	0.0023 (17)
C3	0.079 (2)	0.136 (4)	0.0498 (17)	-0.018 (2)	0.0137 (16)	0.031 (2)
C4	0.115 (3)	0.098 (3)	0.073 (2)	-0.033 (2)	0.009 (2)	0.037 (2)
C5	0.0350 (10)	0.0426 (11)	0.0363 (10)	-0.0016 (10)	0.0022 (8)	0.0044 (8)
C6	0.0588 (15)	0.0679 (17)	0.0451 (12)	-0.0230 (14)	0.0018 (11)	-0.0017 (12)
C7	0.0658 (17)	0.103 (2)	0.0450 (14)	-0.0295 (18)	-0.0008 (12)	-0.0156 (14)
C8	0.0609 (16)	0.095 (2)	0.0382 (12)	-0.0053 (16)	0.0016 (11)	0.0041 (13)
C9	0.0337 (10)	0.0327 (10)	0.0450 (11)	0.0025 (8)	0.0009 (8)	-0.0004 (10)
C10	0.0428 (12)	0.0328 (11)	0.092 (2)	0.0000 (9)	-0.0011 (13)	0.0022 (12)
C11	0.0365 (11)	0.0480 (13)	0.0902 (19)	-0.0049 (10)	-0.0032 (12)	0.0032 (13)
C12	0.0354 (11)	0.0480 (13)	0.0740 (16)	0.0070 (9)	-0.0017 (11)	-0.0032 (12)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

P1—O4	1.4777 (16)	С3—НЗА	0.9300
P1—C5	1.774 (2)	C4—H4B	0.9300
P1—C1	1.782 (2)	C5—C6	1.346 (3)
P1—C9	1.7846 (19)	C6—C7	1.429 (4)
O1—C4	1.366 (4)	С6—Н6А	0.9300
O1—C1	1.374 (3)	C7—C8	1.313 (4)
O2—C8	1.353 (3)	С7—Н7А	0.9300
O2—C5	1.375 (3)	C8—H8A	0.9300

O3—C12	1.364 (3)	C9—C10	1.333 (3)
O3—C9	1.367 (2)	C10—C11	1.417 (3)
C1—C2	1.326 (4)	C10—H10A	0.9300
C2—C3	1.457 (5)	C11—C12	1.328 (3)
C2—H2A	0.9300	C11—H11A	0.9300
C3—C4	1.291 (6)	C12—H12A	0.9300
O4—P1—C5	116.31 (11)	O2—C5—P1	115.06 (16)
O4—P1—C1	111.51 (11)	C5—C6—C7	105.3 (2)
C5—P1—C1	104.39 (11)	С5—С6—Н6А	127.3
O4—P1—C9	111.01 (10)	С7—С6—Н6А	127.3
C5—P1—C9	105.48 (10)	C8—C7—C6	107.6 (3)
C1—P1—C9	107.53 (11)	С8—С7—Н7А	126.2
C4—O1—C1	105.8 (3)	С6—С7—Н7А	126.2
C8—O2—C5	106.20 (19)	C7—C8—O2	110.9 (2)
C12—O3—C9	106.11 (16)	С7—С8—Н8А	124.6
C2-C1-O1	110.8 (3)	O2—C8—H8A	124.6
C2-C1-P1	129.0 (3)	C10—C9—O3	109.83 (18)
O1—C1—P1	120.20 (18)	C10—C9—P1	132.34 (17)
C1—C2—C3	104.9 (3)	O3—C9—P1	117.82 (14)
C1—C2—H2A	127.5	C9—C10—C11	107.1 (2)
C3—C2—H2A	127.5	C9—C10—H10A	126.5
C4—C3—C2	107.4 (3)	C11—C10—H10A	126.5
С4—С3—НЗА	126.3	C12-C11-C10	106.4 (2)
С2—С3—НЗА	126.3	C12—C11—H11A	126.8
C3—C4—O1	111.2 (4)	C10-C11-H11A	126.8
C3—C4—H4B	124.4	C11—C12—O3	110.6 (2)
O1—C4—H4B	124.4	C11—C12—H12A	124.7
C6—C5—O2	110.0 (2)	O3—C12—H12A	124.7
C6—C5—P1	134.91 (18)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H··· A	D—H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
C8—H8A···O4 ⁱ	0.93	2.44	3.325 (3)	158
C6—H6A···O4 ⁱⁱ	0.93	2.49	3.394 (3)	163
C12—H12A···O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.93	2.50	3.309 (3)	146
C10—H10A…O3 ^{iv}	0.93	2.50	3.397 (3)	163
$C_{\text{restructure}}$ and C_{rest} (1) (1)			-1/2 (i.e.) $-1/2$	-+1/2

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+3/2, -y, z+1/2; (ii) -x+2, y+1/2, -z+1/2; (iii) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2; (iv) -x+1, y-1/2, -z+1/2.



